

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-18 are pending in the present application. Claims 1 and 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claims 1-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuli (U.S. Publication 2004/0139208) in view of Brisebois et al. (U.S. Patent 6,219,679).

Please amend Claims 1, 2, 8, 10 and 13 as set forth herein. No new matter has been added.

Regarding the rejection of Claims 1 and 8 under §112, first paragraph, please note that although agreed to by the Examiner during the Examiner's Interview conducted prior to filing the previous Amendment, the Examiner has now rejected the amendments as reciting new matter, and therefore did not address all of the limitations in the current Office Action. On January 15, 2008 Applicants' Representative contacted the Examiner to discuss this issue. The Examiner stated that the written description does not support a controller that scrolls a web page. Claims 1 and 8 have been amended to recite a controller for detecting the previous display information of the web page from the memory, and displaying the web page, wherein when the web page is revisited, the controller displays a last viewed display area of the web page by utilizing the previous display information, and the previous display information of the web page includes a start position of a display area of the revisited web page and the start position corresponds with a start position of the last viewed display area of the web page at a time of a previous visit to the web page, to address this rejection.

Based on at least the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejection of independent Claims 1 and 8 under §112, first paragraph, is respectfully requested.

Regarding the Examiner's rejection of independent Claims 1 and 8 under §103(a), the Examiner states that Tuli in view of Brisebois et al. render the claims obvious. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Tuli discloses a system that allows multiple users operating a personal digital assistant (PDA) receiving information from a server via a cellular phone to access the Internet or World Wide Web (WWW) to view and interact with these pages remotely. Tuli further discloses that a Web server is connected to the Internet and converts an image of a Web page into a bit map format which is compressed and then sent via the cellular phone to the PDA. In other words, the PDA displays a bit map image of a part of a Web page. As taught by Tuli, the PDA does not interact directly with the Web page but is fed a bit map image with which the PDA responds. This can cause unnecessary delays and does not allow direct interaction with a Web page when the PDA is not wirelessly connected to the Web server.

Brisebois et al. discloses enhanced user-interactive information content bookmarking.

Amended Claims 1 and 8 recite, “previous display information of the web page includes a start position of a display area of the revisited web page and the start position corresponds with a start position of the last viewed display area of the web page at a time of a previous visit to the web page”. The Examiner asserts that Tuli in view of Brisebois disclose these features.

Brisebois discloses copying a particular area on each of a plurality of web pages and generating a superbookmark page by including copied particular areas into a single window. Referring to FIGs. 5A and 5B, Brisebois discloses selecting/copying areas A, B, C, D, and E from different web pages to position them in appropriate positions of a “new superbookmark” window of FIG. 5B, and at the same time, storing position coordinates of those areas on the “new superbookmark” window of FIG. 5B and an URL of an web page corresponding to each area, thereby generating the new superbookmark page. Upon selection of any one of the areas A, B, C, D, and E while the generated new superbookmark page being displayed, connection is automatically made to a corresponding web page and thus the corresponding web page is provided.


Brisebois, at col. 4, lines 8 – 39 and col. 4, line 62 – col. 5, line 13, cited by the Examiner, merely discloses that “position coordinates of each of the areas A, B, C, D, and E on the new superbookmark window are stored together with an ULR of a web page corresponding to the area”. This disclosure is not and cannot be equated with “previous display information of the web page includes a start position of a display area of the revisited web page and the start position corresponds with a start position of the last viewed display area of the web page at a time of a previous visit to the web page” as recited by the claims of the present application.

Based on at least the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejection of independent Claims 1 and 8 under §103(a) is respectfully requested.

Independent Claims 1 and 8 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 2-7 and 9-18, these are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on their respective amended independent claims. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of dependent Claims 2-7 and 9-18 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-18, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants’ attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Musella", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Michael J. Musella  
Reg. No. 39,310  
Attorney for Applicant

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM  
333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Suite 701  
Uniondale, New York 11553  
Tel: (516) 228-3565  
Fax: (516) 228-8475  
PJF/MJM/dr